Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Páper No. 24

TURBOSAT TECHNOLOGY, INC. P.O. BOX 822 PRINCETON JUNCTION, NJ 08550

COPY MAILED

JUN 1 6 2004

In re Application of Wu et al. Application No. 09/673,559 Filed: February 22, 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS ON PETITION

For: Spacecraft Shading Device

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(a), filed May 24, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within three months to the non-final Office action mailed January 16, 2002. A two-month extension of time was obtained. Therefore, the application became abandoned on June 17, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 27, 2002.

The instant petition seeks revival of the application. Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a). Therefore, the petition is granted.

A refund of the overpayment of \$55 for the two month extension of time will be scheduled.

The record indicates that petitioner may not know the proper methods by which 37 CFR 1.8 may be employed. The required language may not simply be placed on the Certified Mail Domestic Return Receipt. As a courtesy, excerpts from the MPEP concerning Rule 8, and Rule 10, are attached.

The Office strongly suggests petitioner also accompany future correspondence with a postcar receipt. This may be accomplished by obtaining a blank postcard (or 3X5 index card) and on one side state the exact nature and number of pages for each piece of documentation to be mailed. For example, a postcard receipt may state, "Included please find 5 pages of an amendment, and 2 pages of drawings." On the other side of the postcard, the applicant lists his address in the center, and places a stamp in the top, right corner. Applicant then includes the postcard inside the envelope with the correspondence. When the correspondence is received by the Office, the recipient verifies that the documentation listed on the postcard is present, stamps it, and then mails it back to the applicant.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a request to change the address of record should be filed. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

The file is now being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 3643 for consideration by the examiner of the reply filed on November 4, 2002.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (703) 306-5683.

Charles Steven Brantley Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Attached (to papers mailed to Turbosat address): Excerpts from MPEP concerning Rule 8 and 10

cc:

Paul F. Kaskiewicz 343 Sturtevant Drive, #B Sierra Madre, CA 91024